

Memo - May 2020 - Existing Plans Review

The Existing Plan Review documents the analysis conducted between December 2019 and May 2020; cities, counties, and other key partners were asked to share relevant documents they wanted included in the analysis. Partners were able to review the findings and the list of plans reviewed in June 2020 and July 2020 through the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) meetings. At the July 2020 TAC meetings, partners requested that staff add climate action plans to the plans analyzed to establish the setting for a regional parks and trails network. The two complete climate actions plans were reviewed and amended into the May 2020 existing plans review described here, helping form the foundation for the trail network.

Introduction

SACOG staff reviewed 78 plans in the region to understand the active transportation, trails, and parks goals and policies of cities and counties in the region. The plans reviewed include local General Plans and Active-Transportation related plans- active transportation, bicycle, pedestrian, trails, etc. - as well as regional or local trail-specific plans. Refer to Chart X below for a breakdown of the number of plans reviewed by plan type, and Appendix X for the list of all the plans.

Chart X. Number of Plans Reviewed by Plan Type

Plan Type	# of Plans Reviewed
City or County General Plans	29
Active Transportation-related Plans	36
Regional or local Trail-specific Plans	11
Climate-related Plans	2
Total Plans Reviewed	78

What we did

We reviewed these plans to understand goals and policies for regional trails and parks, as well as identify important regional destinations.

- We looked mainly at mission, vision, purpose statements for active transportation or trails references.
- We then looked into policy language related to the transportation network related to walking, bicycling, trails, parks, etc.
- We identified common policies, goals, and locations in each of the plans and quantified the number of agencies in the SACOG region who adopted this language.

Limitations

- Staff time. We could have missed some goals for certain agencies. Therefore, we should have local agencies vet the findings.

- There is a difference between adopted policy goals and aspirational language in a plan. Our goal was to use adopted policies rather than aspirational language in a plan. For instance, if an agency spoke about connecting to transit or included implementation metrics for transit, but did not explicitly list transit as something to connect in their adopted policy section, then we may not have added the language to our database.
- Some plans did not really have adopted policy sections.
- We're not looking at apples to apples comparisons. Although General Plans and Active Transportation-related Plans included policy language, it was clear that Active Transportation-related plans had a greater emphasis on trails, parks, walking and bicycling than General Plans.

Plan Review Findings

Goals

There are a handful of goals in mission, vision, and policy language that agencies adopted in either plan. Refer to Appendix Y for the breakdown of these goals by jurisdiction and plan type. The list of top priorities for active transportation networks:

1. Safety: 86% of SACOG jurisdictions.
2. Recreation: 75%
3. All Ages and Abilities: 50%
4. Economic Vitality: 43%
5. Health: 43%
6. Livability: 32%
7. Environmental Justice: 32%

Measurable Goals in ATP plans

Some jurisdictions were able to set very ambitious and measurable goals related to active transportation and trail usage in the Active Transportation-related plans. These include:

Shifting behavior/Increasing travel:

- City of Davis: 30% walk, bicycle, and transit mode share by 2020.
- City of Elk Grove: Increase the number of people who travel by bicycle to at least 5 percent and the number of people who walk to at least 5 percent by 2030
- City of West Sacramento: a bicycle mode share of at least 5% and a walk mode share of at least 10% by 2030.

Creating connections/ increasing proximity to trails:

- City of Sacramento: Double the percentage of residents that can conveniently reach a continuous low-traffic-stress bikeway network* by 2025

General Network Destinations

The plans showed that our agencies aspire to connect their active transportation networks to general local and regional activities and destinations. Several of these general locations were common across many of the plans analyzed. Refer to Appendix Z for the breakdown of these connections by jurisdiction and plan type. The list of general locations and the % of SACOG jurisdictions are listed below:

1. Parks and Open Space: 89% of SACOG jurisdictions.
2. Regional Locations or other jurisdictions in the region: 86%
3. Commercial or shopping: 68%
4. Education and other institutional locations: 68%
5. Employment: 64%
6. Transit facilities: 32%

Specific Regional Destinations

We found a number of specific regional destinations referenced in the plans. Refer to Appendix AA for the breakdown of these connections by jurisdiction and plan type. The top regional destinations found in these plans are listed below in order of the number of agencies who aspire to connect to these locations:

1. American River- 5 SACOG jurisdictions.
2. Sacramento River- 4
3. Feather River- 4
4. Folsom Lake- 3
5. Woodland-Davis bikeway- 3
6. Cosumnes River- 2
7. Clarksburg- 2
8. Yuba River- 2

Policy Considerations

Regional coordination to develop the network.

All the agencies in some form referenced other agencies to work with in the region to develop connections to neighboring jurisdictions. The review clearly shows that our agencies aspire to connect to a regional network of parks and trails, especially among neighboring jurisdictions. This will be a focus of this plan, but coordination, planning efforts, and project delivery amongst agencies will necessarily continue well into the future.

Active Transportation Access to Parks and Open Space is Important.

Nearly every jurisdiction adopted policies around providing residents or visitors the opportunity to walk or bicycle to and through parks, rivers, forests, etc. in the community and throughout the region. Many of them referenced the importance of providing recreational trips through increased access to natural settings for personal health and quality of life benefits. This plan will specifically focus on new connections to parks and open space to ensure more residents can access parks, especially those in environmental justice communities.

All Ages and Abilities connections to the parks and trails network and other utilitarian purposes.

Increasingly over the past decade, an aspiration that has captured the active transportation industry is to design walking and bicycling facilities to cater to the needs of people of all ages and abilities. This entails designing facilities that are low-stress, as they reduce the integration of automobiles and people walking and bicycling, and can lead to reductions in speed and volumes. These improvements have shown to be very successful in increasing walking and bicycling generally, and especially among more vulnerable users.

Half of SACOG jurisdictions include such policy language in their active transportation-related plans, suggesting momentum for developing such a regional network to connect local networks to regional parks and trails facilities, in addition to relevant local attractions, such as employment, shopping, and schools. The SACOG board has recommended this plan identify a two-tiered network approach to identify the facilities that are on the regional AAA network, and those that aren't.

Require new development to connect to existing network.

Half of SACOG jurisdictions adopted policy goals to require new communities to be developed with connections to existing local active transportation, trails and parks networks. This would ensure that the new communities would also be connected to the regional network and provide access to regional destinations. These policies are key to ensuring these new communities provide the opportunities for residents and visitors to walk or bicycle for transportation and recreation.

Economic Prosperity of increased accessibility and tourism.

Several SACOG jurisdictions acknowledge the economic vitality that increased walking and bicycling and trails will bring to the community. Not only in terms of tourism, but also in terms of providing residents the opportunity to access schools, jobs, and shopping.

SACOG, in a prosperity partnership with the Greater Sacramento Economic Council, the Sacramento Metro Chamber, and Valley Vision, has released Our Path Forward: The Prosperity Strategy. This document focuses on inclusive economic recovery and growth in the region. Several principles adopted in the document address the importance of increased accessibility to ensuring inclusive economic prosperity:

- Improve access to community programs, jobs, capital, health care and stable housing for vulnerable and struggling communities.
- Invest in infrastructure that supports regional mobility, accessibility and connectivity, including affordable transportation options for low-income residents.

Appendix X- Plans Reviewed

Table 1. General Plans Reviewed

Jurisdiction		Plan Title	Adoption Year	Plan Link
Auburn	Placer	General Plan 1992-2012	1993	https://www.auburn.ca.gov/399/Planning
Citrus Heights	Sacramento	General Plan	2011	https://www.citrusheights.net/202/General-Plan
Colfax	Placer	General Plan 2020	1998	http://colfax-ca.gov/download/40/planning/927/general-plan-composite.pdf
Davis	Yolo	General Plan	2007	https://www.cityofdavis.org/home/showdocument?id=3684
El Dorado County	El Dorado	General Plan	2004	https://www.edcgov.us/Government/planning/pages/adopted_general_plan_amendments.aspx
Elk Grove	Sacramento	General Plan	2019	http://www.elkgrovecity.org/UserFiles/Servers/Server_109585/File/Departments/Planning/Projects/General%20Plan/GPU/Adopted_2019-02/GP/GP_Complete_web_2019-02-27.pdf
Folsom	Sacramento	General Plan	2018	https://www.folsom.ca.us/community/planning/general_plan/2035_general_plan.asp
Galt	Sacramento	General Plan	2009	http://www.ci.galt.ca.us/home/showdocument?id=14104

Appendix B

Isleton	Sacramento	General Plan 2000	2000	http://cityofisleton.com/city-ordinances.html
Isleton	Sacramento	Plan Isleton: Opportunities, Constraints, and Vision Report	2017	http://www.planisleton.com/wp-content/uploads/2017/07/Isleton Opportunities Constraints Vision Report 2017-10-18 Reduced.pdf
Lincoln	Placer	General Plan	2008	http://www.lincolncalifornia.gov/city-hall/departments-divisions/community-development/planning/general-plan-2050
Live Oak	Sutter	General Plan 2030	2009	https://www.liveoakcity.org/index.php/departments/planning/2030-general-plan
Loomis	Placer	General Plan	2001	https://loomis.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2015/10/final-general-plan.pdf
Marysville	Yuba	Bounce Back Plan	2015	https://marysville.ca.us/public/uploads/documents/Bounce-Back-Plan--part-1.pdf
Placer County	Placer	Placer County General Plan	2013	https://www.placer.ca.gov/2977/Placer-County-General-Plan
Placerville	El Dorado	General Plan	2004	https://www.cityofplacerville.org/general-plan
Rancho Cordova	Sacramento	General Plan	2018	https://www.cityofranchocordova.org/i-want-to-learn-about/general-plan

Appendix B

Rocklin	Placer	General Plan	2012	https://www.rocklin.ca.us/post/general-plan
Roseville	Placer	General Plan 2035	2016	https://www.roseville.ca.us/government/departments/development_services/planning/general_plan_development_guidelines
Sacramento	Sacramento	2035 General Plan	2015	https://www.cityofsacramento.org/Community-Development/Resources/Online-Library/2035-General-Plan
Sacramento County	Sacramento	2030 General Plan	2011	https://planning.saccounty.net/PlansandProjectsIn-Progress/Pages/GeneralPlan.aspx
Sutter County	Sutter	General Plan	2011	https://www.suttercounty.org/doc/government/depts/ds/ps/gp/gp_home
West Sacramento	Yolo	General Plan 2035	2016	https://www.cityofwestsacramento.org/government/departments/community-development/planning-division/general-plan-2035
Wheatland	Sacramento	General Plan Policy Document	2006	http://www.wheatland.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/General-Plan-Policy-Documents/Adopted-7-11-2006.pdf
Winters	Sacramento	GENERAL PLANPOLICY	1992	http://www.cityofwinters.org/city-

		DOCUMENT		of-winters-general-plan/
Woodland	Yolo	General Plan 2035	2017	https://www.cityofwoodland.org/563/General-Plan-2035
Yolo County	Yolo	GENERAL PLAN	2009	https://www.yolocounty.org/general-government/general-government-departments/county-administrator/general-plan
Yuba City	Sutter	General Plan	2004	https://www.yubacity.net/UserFiles/Servers/Server_239174/File/Development%20Services/Planning/Plans/General/YC-GPAC-APR-04-FINAL.pdf
Yuba County	Yuba	General Plan	2011	https://www.yuba.org/departments/community_development/planning_department/general_plan.php

Table 2. Active Transportation-related Plans

Jurisdiction	County	Plan Title	Adoption Year	Plan Link
Auburn	Placer	City of Auburn Bikeway Master Plan	2002	http://pctpa.net/library/auburn_bikeMP.pdf
Citrus Heights	Sacramento	CITY OF CITRUS HEIGHTS BIKEWAY MASTER PLAN	2015	https://www.citrusheights.net/DocumentCenter/View/4246/Bikeway-Master-Plan-2015Council-Approved121015?bidId=
Colfax	Placer	Colfax Area Parks and Recreation	2007	http://colfax-ca.gov/download/34/parks/897/colf

Appendix B

		Master Plan 2007-2022		ax-parks-and-recreation-master-plan-2007.pdf
Colfax	Placer	CITY OF COLFAX BIKEWAY MASTER PLAN	2003	http://pctpa.net/library/colfax_bike_MP.pdf
Davis	Yolo	City of Davis Bicycle Action Plan Beyond Platinum	2014	https://www.cityofdavis.org/home/showdocument?id=1073
El Dorado County	El Dorado	El Dorado County Active Transportation Plan	2019	https://www.edctc.org/atp-plans
Elk Grove	Sacramento	Bicycle, Pedestrian, and Trails Master Plan	2014	https://www.elkgrovecity.org/city_hall/departments_divisions/planning/resources_and_policies/bicycle_pedestrian_and_trails_master_plan
Folsom	Sacramento	Bikeway Master Plan	2007	https://www.folsom.ca.us/parks/parks_n_trails/trails/bikeway_master_plan.asp
Galt	Sacramento	Parks Master Plan Update	2010	http://www.ci.galt.ca.us/home/showdocument?id=3998
Galt	Sacramento	Bicycle Transportation Plan	2011	http://www.ci.galt.ca.us/Home/ShowDocument?id=5368
Isleton	Sacramento			N/A
Lincoln	Placer	Bicycle Transportation Plan Update	2018	http://www.lincolncalifornia.gov/home/showdocument?id=11444
Live Oak	Sutter	Bicycle, Pedestrian, and Trails Plan	2016	http://www.liveoakcity.org/~liveoak/images/Departments/Planning/draftplan-09-06-16.pdf

Appendix B

Loomis	Placer	BICYCLE TRANSPORTATION PLAN	2010	https://loomis.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2015/10/LOOMIS-Bike-Transportation-Plan-2010.pdf
Loomis	Placer	TRAILS MASTER PLAN	2010	https://loomis.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2015/10/LOOMIS-Trails-Master-Plan-2010.pdf
Marysville	Yuba	Bicycle & Pedestrian Plan	2016	https://drive.google.com/file/d/1Ba5FPUzWXrdtkHgPpREwAPL2w1OO02Lq/view?ts=5e73b43b (private google drive)
Placer County	Placer	PLACER COUNTY REGIONAL BIKEWAY PLAN 2018 UPDATE	2018	http://pctpa.net/library/BikewayPlanning/PlacerCounty_RegionalBikewayPlan_FINAL_20180629.pdf
Placerville	El Dorado	City of Placerville Active Transportation Plan	2019	https://www.edctc.org/atp-plans
Rancho Cordova	Sacramento	Bicycle Master Plan	2016	https://www.cityofranhocordova.org/home/showdocument?id=11416
Rancho Cordova	Sacramento	Pedestrian Master Plan	2011	https://www.cityofranhocordova.org/home/showdocument?id=9256
Rocklin	Placer	Parks and Trails Master Plan	2017	https://www.rocklin.ca.us/sites/main/files/file-attachments/rocklin_parks_and_trails_master_plan_final.pdf

Appendix B

Roseville	Placer	Bicycle Master Plan	2008	https://www.roseville.ca.us/UserFiles/Servers/Server_7964838/File/Government/Departments/Public%20Works/Biking%20&%20Walking/Planning/2008%20Bicycle%20Master%20Plan2.pdf
Sacramento	Sacramento	Bicycle Master Plan	2018	https://www.cityofsacramento.org/-/media/Corporate/Files/Public-Works/Transportation/Active-Transportation/Sacramento-BMP-Amended-201808.pdf?la=en
Sacramento	Sacramento	Pedestrian Master Plan	2006	https://www.cityofsacramento.org/-/media/Corporate/Files/Public-Works/Publications/Transportation/Bicycle-Pedestrian/Sac-Ped-Plan_9-06.pdf?la=en
Sacramento County	Sacramento	Bikeway Master Plan	2011	https://sacdot.sacounty.net/Documents/A%20to%20Z%20Folder/Bikeways/AdoptedSacCountyBMP_04.27.11.pdf
Sacramento County	Sacramento	Pedestrian Master Plan	2007	https://sacdot.sacounty.net/Documents/A%20to%20Z%20Folder/Pedestrian%20Master%20Plan/SAC_PED_PLAN_FINAL_042807_Small.pdf

Appendix B

Sutter County	Sutter	Pedestrian and Bicycle Master Plan	2012	https://www.suttercounty.org/doc/government/depts/ds/pw/engineering
West Sacramento	Yolo	Bicycle, Pedestrian, and Trails Master Plan	2018	https://www.cityofwestsacramento.org/home/showpublisheddocument/9582/637091571800770000
Wheatland	Yuba	Bikeway Master Plan	2014	http://www.wheatland.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/City-of-Wheatland-Bikeway-Master-Plan.pdf
Winters	Yolo	Bikeway System Master Plan	2013	http://www.cityofwinters.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/11/Bikeway-MasterPlan0113.pdf
Woodland	Yolo	Bicycle Transportation Plan	2002	https://www.cityofwoodland.org/DocumentCenter/View/1015/City-Bikeway-Master-Plan-PDF?bidId=
Yolo County	Yolo	Bicycle Transportation Plan	2013	https://www.yolocounty.org/home/showdocument?id=2538
Yuba City	Sutter	Bicycle Master Plan	2011	https://www.yubacity.net/city_hall/departments/public_works/engineering/technical_documents/bicycle_master_plan
Yuba County	Yuba	Bikeway Master Plan Update	2012	https://www.yuba.org/Yuba%20County/Community%20Development/Public%20Works/Documents/Dec

				%202012_Yuba_County_BMP_FINAL.pdf
Yuba County; Sutter County	Yuba and Sutter	Yuba-Sutter Bikeway Master Plan	1995	https://www.fragmd.org/files/9c4fb5e70/YubaSutter+Bike+Part+1.pdf
Sacramento; West Sacramento	Sacramento and Yolo	Mayor's Climate Commission	2020	https://www.lgc.org/wordpress/wp-content/uploads/2020/06/Mayors-Commission-on-Climate-Change-Final-Report.pdf
Elk Grove	Sacramento	Elk Grove Climate Action Plan	2019	https://www.elkrovecity.org/city_hall/departments_divisions/planning/city_special_projects/climate_action_plan

Table 3. Regional or local Trail-specific Plans

Agency	Plan Title	Adoption Year	Plan Link
Delta Protection Commission	DRAFT 2019 Great California Delta Trail Eastern Blueprint Report for Sacramento, Yolo, and San Joaquin Counties	2019	
Yolo County; City of Davis; City of Woodland	Alternative Transportation Corridor Final Feasibility Study	2009	https://www.yolocounty.org/community-services/planning-public-works/public-works-division/bicycle-transportation/alternative-transportation-corridor
City of Folsom	Johnny Cash Trail art experience	2015	https://www.folsom.ca.us/civicax/filebank/blobdload.aspx?blobid=23951
City of Galt	Parks Master Plan Update	2010	http://www.ci.galt.ca.us/home/showdocument?id=3998
Sacramento County	American River Parkway Plan	2008	https://regionalparks.saccounty.net/Parks/Do

			cuments/Parks/ARPP06-092617_sm.pdf
City of Sacramento; City of West Sacramento	Sacramento River Crossings Alternatives Study	2011	https://www.cityofwestsacramento.org/home/showdocument?id=6334
City of Sacramento; City of West Sacramento	Sacramento Riverfront Master Plan	2003	https://www.cityofsacramento.org/-/media/Corporate/Files/EDD/RiverfrontMP.pdf
Yolo County	OFF-CHANNEL MINING PLAN (OCMP) for LOWER CACHE CREEK (incomplete look at goals/strategies)	2019	https://www.yolocounty.org/general-government/general-government-departments/county-administrator/county-administrator-divisions/natural-resources/cache-creek-area-plan-ccap/off-channel-mining-plan-ocmp
Placer County	Dry Creek Greenway East Feasibility Study		https://www.roseville.ca.us/government/departments/public_works/biking_walking/current_projects/dry_creek_greenway_project_-_east
El Dorado County	Sacramento-Placerville Transportation Corridor	2014	https://www.edcgov.us/Government/Parks/Pages/sacramento-placerville_transportation_corridor_(sptc).aspx
Placer County/ Roseville	Dry Creek Greenway West Feasibility Study	2019	https://roseville.ca.us/cms/one.aspx?portalId=7964922&pageId=11377098

Appendix Y Goals in General and Active Transportation-related Plans by jurisdiction and County

Table 1. Goals in General and Active Transportation-related Plans by jurisdiction

Jurisdiction	Safety	Recreation	All Ages and Abilities	Economic Vitality	Health	Quality of Life/Livability	Fun
Auburn	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Citrus Heights	1	0	1	1	0	1	0
Colfax	1	1	0	1	0	1	0
Davis	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
El Dorado County	1	1	1	1	1	0	0
Elk Grove	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
Folsom	0	1	0	1	1	0	0
Galt	1	1	1	0	0	1	1
Isleton	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
Lincoln	1	0	0	1	0	1	0
Live Oak	1	1	1	1	1	0	0
Loomis	1	1	0	0	1	0	1
Marysville	1	1	1	1	1	0	0
Placer County	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
Placerville	1	0	1	0	1	0	0
Rancho Cordova	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
Rocklin	1	1	0	1	1	1	0
Roseville	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Sacramento	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Sacramento County	1	1	1	0	1	1	0
Sutter County	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
West Sacramento	1	1	1	0	1	0	0
Wheatland	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
Winters	1	1	0	0	0	1	0
Woodland	1	1	1	0	1	0	0
Yolo County	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Yuba City	1	1	0	0	0	1	0
Yuba County	0	0	0	1	1	0	1
Grand Total	24	21	14	12	12	9	4

% of SACOG jurisdictions	86%	75%	50%	43%	43%	32%	14%
---------------------------------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

Table 2. Goals in General and Active Transportation-related Plans by County

County	Safety	Recreation	All Ages and Abilities	Economic Vitality	Health	Quality of Life/Livability	Fun
El Dorado	2	1	2	1	2		
Placer	7	6	1	3	2	3	1
Sacramento	7	6	4	5	3	4	2
Sutter	3	2	1	1	2	1	
Yolo	3	4	3		1	1	
Yuba	2	2	3	2	2		1
Grand Total	24	21	14	12	12	9	4
% of SACOG jurisdictions	86%	75%	50%	43%	43%	32%	14%

Appendix Z General Network Destinations in General and Active Transportation-related Plans by jurisdiction and County

Table 1. General Network Destinations in General and Active Transportation-related Plans by jurisdiction

Jurisdiction	Parks & Open Space	Regional Connectivity/Coordination	Commercial	Education and Institutions	Employment	Transit
Auburn	1	1		1		
Citrus Heights	1	1				
Colfax	1	1	1	1	1	1
Davis			1	1	1	1
El Dorado County	1	1	1	1	1	
Elk Grove	1	1	1	1	1	1
Folsom	1	1	1	1	1	1
Galt	1	1			1	
Isleton	1					
Lincoln		1	1	1	1	
Live Oak	1	1	1	1	1	
Loomis	1	1				
Marysville	1	1	1			
Placer County	1	1	1	1	1	1
Placerville		1	1	1	1	
Rancho Cordova	1	1	1	1	1	
Rocklin	1	1	1	1	1	
Roseville	1	1	1	1	1	1
Sacramento	1	1	1			
Sacramento County	1	1	1			
Sutter County	1	1				1
West Sacramento	1	1	1	1	1	1
Wheatland	1	1	1	1	1	
Winters	1	1	1	1	1	
Woodland	1	1		1	1	1
Yolo County	1	1	1	1	1	
Yuba City	1			1		
Yuba County	1					
Grand Total	25	24	19	19	18	9

% of SACOG jurisdictions	89%	86%	68%	68%	64%	32%
---------------------------------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

Table 2. General Network Destinations in General and Active Transportation-related Plans by County

Row Labels	Parks & Open Space	Regional Connectivity or working with nearby jurisdictions	Employment	Commercial	Education and Institutions	Transit
El Dorado	1	2	2	2	2	
Placer	6	7	5	5	6	3
Sacramento	8	7	4	5	3	2
Sutter	3	2	1	1	2	1
Yolo	4	4	5	4	5	3
Yuba	3	2	1	2	1	
Grand Total	25	24	18	19	19	9
% of SACOG jurisdictions	89%	86%	64%	68%	68%	32%

Appendix AA Specific Regional Destinations

The below table measures the number of jurisdictions that adopted policies to connect to the specific location in either their General Plan or Active Transportation-related plan.

Table 1. Specific Connections to Regional Destinations by # of jurisdictions in the County

Locations by County							
Regional Destinations	El Dorado	Placer	Sacramento	Sutter	Yolo	Yuba	Total
American River	1		3		1		5
Sacramento River			3		1		4
Feather River				2		2	4
Folsom Lake		2	1				3
Woodland-Davis bikeway					3		3
Cosumnes River	1		1				2
Clarksburg					2		2
Yuba River						2	2
Folsom	1						1
Sacramento		1					1
Folsom South Canal			1				1
Upper Laguna Creek			1				1
Dry Creek			1				1
Deadman's Gulch			1				1
Cosumnes River Preserve			1				1
CA Traction Line			1				1
Lake Natoma			1				1
Placer County			1				1
El Dorado County			1				1

Appendix B

Georgiana Slough			1				1
Cache Creek Parkways					1		1
Lower Yolo Bypass					1		1
Gold Fields						1	1
Sacramento Northern Bike Path						1	1
Bear River						1	1
Yuba County						1	1
Nevada County						1	1